

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE – AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

Application No.: 09/925,896
Amdt dated November 9, 2005
Reply to Office Action of September 12, 2005
Attorney Docket No.: 498.02.01

PATENT

REMARKS

Applicant submits this paper in response to a final Office Action mailed on September 19, 2005. Applicant respectfully requests entry of the amendments presented herein, as they have been made to comply with the requirements of the Office Action to place all of the claims in condition for allowance. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-15, and 21 were deemed allowed, and claims 18 and 19 were deemed to be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Upon entry of the amendments presented herein, claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-16, and 19-21 will remain pending. Claims 17 and 18 have been cancelled by this amendment, and claims 4, 9, and 10 were previously canceled.

By the amendment presented claims 1, 16 and 19 have been amended. Claim 1 has been amended to remove superfluous “and’s” from the claim. This is merely a matter of form and does not introduce new matter.

Claim 16 has been amended to include the limitation of 18, which provides greater detail of how the information router fulfills the information request. Accordingly, support for this amendment can be found in claim 18.

Lastly, claim 19 has been amended to correct its dependency to claim 16 in view of canceled claim 17.

Section 102 Rejection

Claim 16-17 and 20 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly anticipated by Bracho et al. (EP 0 806 731 A2).

Applicants have amended claim 16 to include the limitations of claim 18, which was considered by the examiner as to be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Furthermore, claim 17 has been canceled. As a result, claim 19 now depends from amended claim 16. In view of these amendments, applicant respectfully submits that this rejection is now moot. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE – AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

Application No.: 09/925,896

Amdt dated November 9, 2005

Reply to Office Action of September 12, 2005

Attorney Docket No.: 498.02.01


PATENT

Conclusion

For all the foregoing reasons, applicant submits that claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-16, and 19-21 are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

DERGOSITS & NOAH LLP



Samuel S. Lee
Reg. No. 41,938

Dated: November 9, 2005

4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1450
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 705-6377 tel
(415) 705-6383 fax
slee@dergnoah.com